

HAROLD ROSENTHAL, SBN 68380
Attorney at Law
803 Hearst Avenue
Berkeley, CA 94710
Tele: (510) 981-1800
Fax: (510) 981-1821

Attorney for Defendants
WINSLOW NORTON AND ABRAHAM NORTON

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND VENUE**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No.: No. 07-0683 DLJ
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER CONTINUING HEARING
ABRAHAM NORTON AND WINSLOW)	DATE
NORTON,)	
Defendants.)	

TO: THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED HONORABLE COURT, AND TO
JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND STEPHEN
CORRIGAN, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY:

The parties hereby stipulate by and between one another that good cause exists to
continue the setting hearing in the above-entitled matter currently set for Friday,
September 4, 2009 to another date and time, that is, Friday September 11, 2009 at 1:00
p.m. The facts supporting good cause include a plan to take the unusual step of closing
the Bay Bridge commencing September 3, 2009 and continuing through the end of the
Labor Day Weekend, which begins on September 5, 2009. It is virtually inevitable that
traffic will be unusually heavy on that day as a result of the closing. Counsel for
Winslow Norton and counsel for Michael Norton both have offices in San Francisco, and
either of the alternative routes available to those counsel are already significantly longer

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
CONTINUING HEARING DATE

1 than a direct trip from San Francisco to Oakland over the Bay Bridge, and will only
2 become more difficult to navigate efficiently as a result of the traffic congestion.
3 Additionally, counsel for defendant Abraham Norton will not be able to attend on the
4 date presently set, because he has a long-standing commitment to attend the wedding in
5 New Hampshire of the son of two close friends, and while co-counsel could appear for
6 him at the hearing, it is preferable that counsel personally attends.

7 As stated above, we have discussed this matter with government counsel, and he is
8 amenable to the stipulation proposed.

9
10 It is so stipulated.

11 Dated: September 3, 2009

12 _____/s/_____
13 STEPHEN CORRIGAN

14 Attorney for the United States
15
16

17 Dated: September 3, 2009

18 _____/s/_____
19 DORON WEINBERG

20 Attorney for Defendant
21 ABRAHAM NORTON

22 Dated: September 3, 2009

23 _____/s/_____
24 HAROLD ROSENTHAL

25 Attorney for Defendant
26 WINSLOW NORTON

27 //
28

1 Dated: September 3, 2009

2 _____/s/_____
3 WILLIAM H. OSTERHOUDT

4 Attorney for Defendant
5 MICHAEL NORTON

6 Dated: September 3, 2009

7 _____/s/_____
8 JEROME MATTEWS

9 Attorney for Defendant
10 BRIAN EVERETT

11
12 Good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that the hearing
13 currently scheduled for September 4, 2009 be continued until September 11, 2009
14 at 1:00 p.m.
15

16
17
18 Dated: September 3, 2009

19 
20 JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
21 D. Lowell Jensen
22
23
24
25
26
27
28